Properties of relativistic hot accretion flow around rotating black hole with radially varying viscosity

Monu Singh[†] and Santabrata Das[†]

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati, 781039, Assam, India.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): sbdas@iitg.ac.in;
Contributing authors: monu18@iitg.ac.in;
[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

We examine the effect of variable viscosity parameter (α) in relativistic, low angular momentum advective accretion flow around rotating black holes. Following the recent simulation studies of magnetohydrodynamic disk that reveal the radial variation of $\alpha(r)$, we theoretically investigate the properties of the global transonic accretion flow considering a one-dimensional power law prescription of viscosity parameter as $\alpha(r) \propto r^{\theta}$, where the viscosity exponent θ is a constant. In doing so, we adopt the relativistic equation of state and solve the fluid equations that govern the flow motion inside the disk. We find that depending on the flow parameters, accretion flow experiences centrifugally supported shock transition and such shocked accretion solutions continue to exist for wide ranges of the flow energy, angular momentum, accretion rate and viscosity exponent, respectively. Due to shock compression, the hot and dense post-shock flow (hereafter PSC) can produce the high energy radiations after reprocessing the soft photons from the pre-shock flow via inverse Comptonization. Since PSC is usually described using shock radius (r_s) , compression ratio (\mathbf{R}) and shock strength (S), we study the role of θ in deciding r_s , R and S, respectively. Moreover, we obtain the parameter space for shock and find that possibility of shock formation diminishes as θ is increased. Finally, we compute the limiting value of θ $(i.e., \theta^{\max})$ that admits shock and find that flow can sustain more viscosity when it accretes onto rapidly rotating $(a_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow 1)$ black hole in comparison to weakly rotating $(a_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \mathbf{0})$ black hole.

1 Introduction

Accretion of matter onto compact object is considered to be the most efficient energy release process. However, in the context of accretion disk theory, the underlying mechanisms responsible to transport the angular momentum through the disk are not yet well understood and remain the most intriguing unresolved problem due to the disagreement of findings between the numerical simulations (Balbus and Hawley, 1998) and observational results (Smak, 1999). In particular, King et al (2007) found an apparent discrepancy of a factor of ~ 10 between observational and theoretical estimates of viscosity parameter in accretion flow around black hole (BH).

In a seminal work, Shakura and Sunyaev (1973) (hereafter SS73) introduced dimensionless viscosity parameter α defined as the ratio of the viscous stress to the pressure of the accretion flow. In the absence of detailed understanding of the viscous mechanism, SS73 considered α to be a global constant all throughout, typically in the range 0.001 - 0.1 (Das et al, 2021, and references therein). Afterwords, considering the effective shear viscosity driven by the magneto-rotational instability, Hawley and Krolik (2001, 2002) suggested that α may not be constant throughout the flow, instead it possibly varies both spatially and temporally in an accretion flow. Similar findings were also reported by numerous groups of researchers while examining the overall characteristics of α using magneto-hydrodynamical simulations (Balbus and Hawley, 1991; Matsumoto and Tajima, 1995; Hawley et al, 1995, 1996; Lyubarskii, 1997; Nayakshin, 1999; Steinacker and Papaloizou, 2002; Sano et al, 2004; Fragile et al, 2007; Penna et al, 2010, 2012; Porth et al, 2019). Very recently, Mitra et al (2022) computed the profile of the ratio of Maxwell stress to the gas pressure, and found that unlike standard SS73 viscosity parameter, it also varies with radial distance as well. Needless to mention that the measure of α from both local and global simulations is undoubtedly challenging as it depends on several factors, namely initial magnetic field geometry and strength, grid resolutions, etc (Sorathia et al, 2012). Accordingly, it appears that the range of α values is not well constrained and hence, it remains inconclusive.

Indeed, it is the viscous stress that generally varies inside the disk, and hence, α is often considered as radially varying. Adopting these ideas, we investigate the properties of relativistic viscous advective accretion flow around the rotating BHs. During accretion, matter starts accreting with subsonic speed from a large distance and plunges into BH supersonically to meet the horizon condition. Because of this, inflowing matter experiences smooth transition from sub- to super-sonic domain at least once while accreting onto BH. However, flow can encounter such sonic transitions multiple times depending on the flow energy and angular momentum, and solution of this kind is specially encouraging because centrifugal wall may trigger shock transitions (Fukue, 1987; Chakrabarti, 1989; Abramowicz and Chakrabarti, 1990; Yang and Kafatos, 1995; Das et al, 2001a,b; Chakrabarti and Das, 2004; Das, 2007; Kumar and Chattopadhyay, 2014; Sarkar and Das, 2016; Das and Sarkar, 2018; Dihingia et al, 2018; Dihingia et al, 2019a,b; Das et al, 2021; Sen et al, 2022; Patra et al, 2022). Such shock transitions are possible provided Rankine-Hugonoit conditions (RHCs) are favourable (Landau and Lifshitz, 1959). At shock, accreting matter jumps from supersonic to subsonic value and this causes the convergent post-shock matter hot, dense, and puffed-up, which is commonly referred as post-shock corona (PSC). After

the shock, accreting matter starts moving towards the horizon and gradually gains radial velocity. This process continues and finally, matter crosses the event horizon (r_g) with supersonic speed after passing through a critical point located close to r_g . In reality, PSC comprises swarm of the hot electrons. These electrons interact with the soft photons from pre-shock matter via inverse-Comptonization process and produce hard X-ray radiations (Chakrabarti and Titarchuk, 1995; Mandal and Chakrabarti, 2005). When RHCs do not satisfied, however flow possesses more than one critical point, PSC is expected to exhibit time varying modulation that usually may give rise to the quasi-periodic variations of emitted photons commonly observed from Galactic BH sources (Chakrabarti and Manickam, 2000; Nandi et al, 2001, 2012; Majumder et al, 2022; Das et al, 2021, and references therein).

Being motivated with this, we examine the structure of the steady, viscous, advective flow that accretes on to a rotating BH. We adopt the viscosity parameter that is radially varying as $\alpha(r) = \alpha_0 (r/r_g)^{\theta}$, where r is the radial distance, r_g is gravitational radius, α_0 is the proportionality constant and θ is the viscosity exponent. We consider relativistic equation of state (REoS) that satisfactorily accounts the thermal properties of the low angular momentum accreting matter (Chattopadhyay and Ryu, 2009; Dihingia et al, 2019a). Further, we use a recently developed pseudo potential to mimic the BH gravity (Dihingia et al, 2018) for spin values ranging from weakly rotating $(a_k \to 0)$ to rapidly rotating $(a_k \to 1)$ limits. Considering all these, we calculate the global transonic accretion solutions (GTAS) by solving the fluid equations using the accretion model parameters. Moreover, we identify the requisite GTAS that admit standing shock transitions, and render the dependencies of the dynamical as well as thermodynamical flow variables on the model parameters. In addition, we investigate the various shock properties, such as shock radius (r_s) , compression ratio (R), and shock strength(S), respectively, and study how r_s , R and S depend on the viscosity (α) and accretion rate (\dot{m}) . We also determine the range of flow energy (\mathcal{E}) and angular momentum(λ) that render shock-induced GTAS, and ascertain the domain of shock parameter space in $\lambda - \mathcal{E}$ plane. We find that such a parameter space is altered as the viscosity exponent (θ) is varied. Since θ plays pivotal role in deciding the accretion disc structure, it is important to explore the limiting value of the viscosity exponent (θ^{\max}) . Accordingly, we put effort to estimate θ^{\max} and find that it fervently depends on both BH spin (a_k) and α_0 .

This paper is organized in the following manner. In §2, we describe the model considerations and basic equations. We obtain GTAS both in absence and presence of shock and discuss the shock properties in §3. In §4, we discuss how the shock parameter space alters with the change of viscosity and calculate the maximum limit of viscosity exponent for shock. Finally, in §5, we present conclusions.

2 Basic considerations and model equations

We begin with an axisymmetric, steady-state, height-averaged viscous advective accretion disk around a rotating BH in presence of synchrotron cooling. We also consider that such a disk remains confined around the disk equatorial plane. We approximate the effect of gravity by adopting an effective pseudo-potential (Dihingia et al, 2018)

that successfully delineates the spacetime wrapping due to rotating BH. The accretion process inside the disk is driven by the viscous stress $(W_{r\phi})$ and we consider $W_{r\phi} = -\alpha \Pi$, where Π denotes the vertically integrated pressure including ram pressure, and α , the viscosity parameter, is the dimensionless quantity that is assumed to vary with radial coordinate. Needless to mention that α absorbs all the detailed microphysics of the viscous processes. With these considerations, we express all the governing equations using $M_{\rm BH} = G = c = 1$, where $M_{\rm BH}$, G and c denote BH mass, gravitational constant and light speed, respectively. With this, we write the length in unit of $r_g = GM_{\rm BH}/c^2$, and accordingly, time and angular momentum are written in unit of r_g/c and r_gc .

2.1 Governing Equations

The basic fluid equations that describe the motion of the accreting matter inside the disc around a rotating BH are as follows:

(a) Conservation equation for radial momentum:

$$\upsilon \frac{d\upsilon}{dr} + \frac{1}{h\rho} \frac{dP}{dr} + \frac{d\Phi_{\rm e}^{\rm eff}}{dr} = 0, \tag{1}$$

where v, P, ρ and h, denote the flow velocity, gas pressure, mass density and specific enthalphy, respectively. In addition, Φ_{e}^{eff} refers the effective potential of a rotating BH that mimics the spacetime geometry at the disc equatorial plane and is given by (Dihingia et al, 2018),

$$\Phi_{\rm e}^{\rm eff} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{r\Delta}{a_{\rm k}^2(r+2) - 4a_{\rm k}\lambda + r^3 - \lambda^2(r-2)} \right],\tag{1a}$$

where λ is the specific angular momentum of the accreting matter, a_k denotes the BH spin, and $\Delta = r^2 - 2r + a_k^2$.

(b) Mass conservation equation:

$$\dot{M} = 2\pi \upsilon \Sigma \sqrt{\Delta} , \qquad (2)$$

where \dot{M} is the mass accretion rate. In this work, we do not consider the ejection of matter in the form of outflow/jets and hence, \dot{M} is treated as global constant in absence of any mass loss from the disk. Moreover, for convenience, we express the accretion rate in unit of Eddington accretion rate as $\dot{m} = \dot{M}/\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$, where $\dot{M}_{\rm Edd} =$ $1.44 \times 10^{17} \left(\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{M_{\odot}}\right)$. Further, Σ is the vertically integrated surface mass density of the accreting matter (Matsumoto et al, 1984), and is written as $\Sigma = 2\rho H$, where H refers the local disc half thickness expressed as (Riffert and Herold, 1995; Peitz and Appl, 1997),

$$H^{2} = \frac{Pr^{3}}{\rho\mathcal{F}}, \ \mathcal{F} = \gamma_{\phi}^{2} \frac{(r^{2} + a_{k}^{2})^{2} + 2\Delta a_{k}^{2}}{(r^{2} + a_{k}^{2})^{2} - 2\Delta a_{k}^{2}}, \ \gamma_{\phi}^{2} = \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda\Omega)}$$

Here, $\Omega \left[= (2a_k + \lambda(r-2))/(a_k^2(r+2) - 2a_k\lambda + r^3) \right]$ denotes the angular velocity of the accreting matter.

(c) Conservation equation for azimuthal momentum:

$$\upsilon \frac{d\lambda}{dr} + \frac{1}{\Sigma r} \frac{d}{dr} (r^2 W_{r\phi}) = 0, \qquad (3)$$

where, we consider the $r\phi$ component of the viscous stress as $W_{r\phi} = -\alpha \Pi = -\alpha (W + \Sigma v^2)$ (Chakrabarti and Molteni, 1995; Chakrabarti and Das, 2004, and references therein). In equation 3, W denotes the vertically integrated pressure and Σ represents the vertically integrated mass density. In this work, we consider radially varying viscosity parameter resembling power law distribution as

$$\alpha = A \left(\frac{r}{r_g}\right)^{\theta} = \alpha_0 r^{\theta}, \tag{3a}$$

where A, θ and α_0 are regarded as constants all throughout the flow. Similar findings on the radial variation of viscosity parameter are also recently reported by the group of workers (Penna et al, 2013; Zhu and Stone, 2018). Note that when $\theta \to 0$, we obtain globally constant viscosity parameter $\alpha = \alpha_0$, as in the case of ' α model' prescription (Shakura and Sunyaev, 1973).

(d) Equation for energy balance:

$$\Sigma v T \frac{ds}{dr} = \frac{vH}{\Gamma - 1} \left(\frac{dP}{dr} - \frac{\Gamma P}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right) = Q^{-} - Q^{+}.$$
 (4)

In equation (4), T is the flow temperature, s is the specific entropy and and Γ is the adiabatic index. Moreover, during accretion, the heat gain and lost by the flow are denoted by Q^+ and Q^- , respectively. Following Chakrabarti (1996); Aktar et al (2017), we adopt the mixed shear stress prescription to compute the viscous heating of the flow and is given by,

$$Q^{+} = -\alpha\rho Hr\left(\frac{P}{\rho} + v^{2}\right)\frac{d\Omega}{dr}.$$
(5)

In general, the bremsstrahlung cooling process is regarded as the inefficient cooling process (Chattopadhyay and Chakrabarti, 2000). Hence, in this work, we consider energy loss due to synchrotron cooling only. Accordingly, the synchrotron emissivity of the convergent accretion flow is obtained as (Shapiro and Teukolsky, 1983),

$$Q^{-} = Q^{\text{syn}} = \frac{16}{3} \frac{e^2}{c} \left(\frac{eB}{m_e c}\right)^2 \left(\frac{k_B T}{m_e c^2}\right)^2 n_e \quad \text{erg cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}, \tag{6}$$

where e, m_e , and n_e are the charge, mass, and number density of the electrons respectively, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, B is the magnetic fields. In the astrophysical context, the present of magnetic fields is ubiquitous inside the disc and hence, the ionized flow should emit synchrotron photons causing the accreting flow to cool down significantly. Indeed, the characteristics of structured magnetic fields inside the disc

still remains unclear, and hence, we rely on the random or stochastic magnetic field. For the purpose of simplicity, we use equipartition to estimate magnetic field and obtained as, $B = \sqrt{8\pi\beta P}$, where β is a dimensionless constant. Evidently, $\beta \leq 1$ confirms that the magnetic fields remain confined within accretion disc (Mandal and Chakrabarti, 2005). For the purpose of representation, in this work, we choose $\beta = 0.1$.

In order to close the governing equations (1 - 4), one requires to consider an equation of state (EoS) that relates P, ρ and internal energy (ϵ) of the flow. Hence, we consider an EoS for relativistic flow which is given by (Chattopadhyay and Ryu, 2009),

$$\epsilon = \frac{\rho f}{\left(1 + \frac{m_p}{m_e}\right)},\tag{7}$$

with

$$f = \left[1 + \Theta\left(\frac{9\Theta + 3}{3\Theta + 2}\right)\right] + \left[\frac{m_p}{m_e} + \Theta\left(\frac{9\Theta m_e + 3m_p}{3\Theta m_e + 2m_p}\right)\right],$$

where Θ (= $k_B T/m_e c^2$) is the dimensionless temperature of the flow. Utilizing the relativistic EoS, we express polytropic index as $N = \frac{1}{2} \frac{df}{d\Theta}$, adiabatic index as $\Gamma = 1 + 1/N$ and sound speed as $C_s^2 = \frac{\Gamma P}{e+P} = \frac{2\Gamma \Theta}{f+2\Theta}$, respectively (Mitra et al, 2022, and references therein). Further, following Chattopadhyay and Kumar (2016) and with the help of equation (2), we compute the entropy accretion rate as $\dot{\mathcal{M}} = vH\sqrt{\Delta} \left[\Theta^2 \left(2 + 3\Theta\right) \left(3\Theta + 2m_p/m_e\right)\right]^{3/4} \exp(k_1)$, where $k_1 = 0.5 \times [f/\Theta - (1 + m_p/m_e)/\Theta]$.

Using equations (1-7), we get the radial velocity gradient in the form of wind equation as,

$$\frac{dv}{dr} = \frac{\mathcal{N}(r, v, \Theta, \lambda, \alpha)}{\mathcal{D}(r, v, \Theta, \lambda, \alpha)},\tag{8}$$

where both \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{D} depend on $r, v, \Theta, \lambda, \alpha$, and their explicit mathematical expressions are given in Appendix A. Using equation (8), we obtain the radial derivatives of angular momentum (λ) and dimensionless temperature (Θ) as,

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dr} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \frac{d\upsilon}{dr},\tag{9}$$

and

$$\frac{d\Theta}{dr} = \Theta_1 + \Theta_2 \frac{d\upsilon}{dr},\tag{10}$$

where the mathematical form of the coefficients, such as λ_1 , λ_2 , Θ_1 , and Θ_2 are described in Appendix A.

Indeed, during accretion, subsonic flow commences accreting towards the BH from a far away distance r_{edge} (hereafter disc outer edge) and crosses BH horizon (r_h) supersonically. Therefore, flow ought to pass through a critical point (r_c) where it smoothly transits from subsonic to supersonic domain. Note that for $r > r_h$, flow may possess multiple critical points depending on the flow parameters. Following Chakrabarti and Das (2004), we carry out the critical point analysis, where $\left(\frac{dv}{dr}\right)_{r_c} = \frac{0}{0}$ as one gets $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D} = 0$ at the critical point. Because of this, we make use of the l'Hospital rule while computing $\left(\frac{dv}{dr}\right)$ at r_c . For physically acceptable solutions around BH, we



Fig. 1 Plot of flow velocity (v) and sound speed (C_s) with radial distance (r) for different values of θ . Dashed curves represent the sound speed and solid curves denote flow velocity. See the text for details.

consider saddle type critical points only where $\left(\frac{dv}{dr}\right)$ yields two distinct real values at the critical point (Das, 2007, and references therein). When r_c forms near r_h , we refer it as inner critical point (r_{in}) , otherwise it is termed as outer critical point (r_{out}) (Chakrabarti and Das, 2004).

3 Global transonic accretion solutions (GTAS)

The global transonic accretion solutions (GTAS) are obtained by solving the coupled differential equations (8 - 10) for a set of model parameters. Some of these parameters, namely α_0 , θ , \dot{m} and a_k remain constant all throughout, while the others, *i.e.*, critical point r_c and angular momentum λ_c at r_c are treated as local parameters. Using the model parameters, we first integrate equations (8-10) starting from r_c up to r_h and again from r_c to r_{edge} (~ 1500). Thereafter, a complete GTAS around BH is obtained by joining both parts of the solutions. Based on the choice of the model parameters, flow becomes transonic either at r_{in} or at r_{out} before crossing the BH horizon.

Figure 1 shows the typical sets of global transonic accretion solutions (GTAS) for flows injected from $r_{\rm edge} = 1500$ with various θ values. Following the procedure mentioned in Das (2007), we calculate the accretion solution containing the inner

Table 1 Power law exponent (θ) , critical point location (r_c) , critical point angular momentum (λ_c) , critical point velocity (v_c) , critical point temperature (Θ_c) , disk outer edge (r_{edge}) , angular momentum at r_{edge} (λ_{edge}), velocity at r_{edge} (v_{edge}), temperature at r_{edge} (Θ_{edge}) for global transonic solutions presented in figure 1. See the text for more details.

θ	$r_{\rm c}$	$\lambda_{ m c}$	$v_{\rm c}$	$\Theta_{\rm c}$	$r_{\rm edge}$	$\lambda_{ m edge}$	$v_{\rm edge}$	Θ_{edge}	
	(r_g)	$(r_g c)$	(c)	$(m_e c^2 K)$	(r_g)	$(r_g c)$	(c)	$(m_e c^2 K)$	
0	5.50	3.15	0.1808	27.9476	1500.0	21.10	0.00089	0.98115	
0.04	6.019	3.03	0.1816	28.2146	1500.0	21.10	0.00118	0.98106	
0.0596	6.464	2.96	0.1800	27.6714	1500.0	21.10	0.00137	0.98099	
0.065	83.488	2.83	0.0665	3.4779	1500.0	21.10	0.00140	0.98098	
Note: Sut	ffix 'c' ide	entifies o	mantities	measured a	t inner (d	outer) cr	itical poin	$t r_{in} (r_{out})$	

critical point $r_{\rm in} = 5.50$, where we choose $\lambda_{\rm in} = 3.15$, $\alpha_0 = 0.01$, $\theta = 0.0$, $a_{\rm k} = 0.0$, and $\dot{m} = 0.01$. This renders a global accretion solution as it successfully connects BH horizon r_h with r_{edge} . We note the flow variables at r_{edge} as $\lambda_{edge} = 21.10$, $v_{edge} =$ 8.9×10^{-4} , and $\Theta_{edge} = 0.98115$. In reality, we can get the same accretion solution once the flow equations are integrated towards BH horizon using these noted boundary values. Here, black solid curve denote the radial velocity v(r), whereas black dashed curves represent the sound speed $C_s(r)$ of the flow for $\theta = 0.0$. Next, we increase $\theta = 0.04$ while keeping other flow variables unchanged at $r_{\rm edge}$ and calculate GTAS by suitably tuning $v_{edge} = 1.18 \times 10^{-3}$, and $\Theta_{edge} = 0.98106$. Here, we additionally require the boundary values of v_{edge} and Θ_{edge} to integrate the fluid equations from $r_{\rm edge}$, as critical point remains unknown. The solution is depicted using blue color where we find that the inner critical point is shifted outwards as $r_{\rm in} = 6.019$ for $\theta = 0.04$. Similarly, for $\theta = 0.0596$, flow solution (red) continues to maintain similar character as in the case of $\theta = 0.0$ and 0.04, having inner critical points at $r_{\rm in} = 6.464$. Solutions of this kind that are passing through $r_{\rm in}$ are similar to ADAF-type accretion solutions (Narayan and Yi, 1994). When θ is increased further, the nature of the flow solution (in green) is changed and it becomes transonic at outer critical point $r_{\rm out} = 83.488$ rather than $r_{\rm in}$. When θ is increased further, flow solution (in green) changes its character and becomes transonic at the outer critical point $r_{\rm out} = 83.488$ instead of the inner critical point. Usually, the solutions containing $r_{\rm out}$ are of Bondi type (Bondi, 1952). For the purpose of clarity, regions around the critical points (r_{in} and $r_{\rm out}$) are zoomed which are shown using filled circles at the insets. We tabulate the flow variables at r_{edge} , r_{out} , and r_{in} in Table 1. Overall, we observe that the role of θ is pivotal in deciding characteristic of GTAS around BHs.

Next, we present the accretion flow solutions in figure 2a, where the radial variation of Mach number $(M = v/C_s)$ is demonstrated. Here, all the solutions become transonic at $r_{\rm in} = 6.17$ with $\lambda_{\rm in} = 3.01$, $\alpha_0 = 0.01$, $\dot{m} = 0.01$, $a_{\rm k} = 0.0$, respectively. For $\theta = 0.6$, we obtain a GTAS that smoothly connects the BH horizon with $r_{\rm edge}$ where flow angular momentum matches with its Keplerian value as shown in dotted curve. We gradually decrease θ and find that beyond the limiting value as $\theta = 0.591$, accretion flow becomes closed as shown using solid curve. The result plotted using dashed curve corresponds to $\theta = 0.3$. The closed accretion solutions passing through $r_{\rm in}$ are



Fig. 2 Variation of (a) Mach number $M (= v/C_s)$ and (b) angular momentum (λ) with the radial distance r for different θ . Here, we choose $r_{\rm in} = 6.17$, $\lambda_{\rm in} = 3.01$, $\alpha_0 = 0.01$, and $\dot{m} = 0.01$. Dashed, solid and dotted curves denote results for $\theta = 0.30, 0.591$, and 0.60, respectively. See the text for details.

noteworthy as they can join with another solution passing through $r_{\rm out}$ via centrifugally supported shocks. Indeed, the existence of shocks in advective accretion flows has intense implication because the solution of this kind satisfactorily explains the temporal and spectral properties of BH sources (Molteni et al, 1994, 1996; Chakrabarti and Titarchuk, 1995; Chakrabarti, 1996; Lu et al, 1999; Chakrabarti and Manickam, 2000; Das et al, 2009; Nagakura and Yamada, 2009; Nandi et al, 2012; Iyer et al, 2015; Okuda and Das, 2015; Suková and Janiuk, 2015; Das et al, 2021). Accordingly, in the subsequent sections, we investigate the shock-induced GTAS around BHs. In figure 2b, we present the variation of angular momentum for the solutions presented in figure 2a, where big-dashed curve denote the Keplerian angular momentum profile.



Fig. 3 Examples of shock-induced GTAS around BH, where variation of M with r are depicted. Vertical down-arrow shows the shock transition radius, where Rankine-Hogoniot conditions (Landau and Lifshitz, 1959) for standing shock are satisfied. Filled circles denote critical points and arrows show the direction of the flow motion towards BH. Dotted curve represents shock free solution. (a) Upper panel is for $a_{\rm k} = 0.0$, and (b) lower panel is for $a_{\rm k} = 0.99$. See the text for details.

In figure 3a, we depict an example of shock-induced global accretion solution, which passes thorough both $r_{\rm out} = 395.32$ and $r_{\rm in} = 5.808$ while accreting onto a stationary BH ($a_{\rm k} = 0.0$). Here, matter is injected sub-sonically from $r_{\rm edge} = 1500$ with $\lambda_{\rm edge} = 4.68$, $v_{\rm edge} = 8.35 \times 10^{-3}$, $\Theta_{\rm edge} = 0.336$, $\dot{m} = 0.01$, $\alpha_0 = 0.01$, and $\theta = 0.1$. As subsonic matter proceeds towards the BH, it becomes supersonic at $r_{\rm out} = 395.32$ and proceeds further towards the BH. Indeed, accreting matter can seamlessly cross the BH horizon after passing $r_{\rm out}$ as shown using dotted curve. Interestingly, supersonic accreting matter sees an alternative possibility of discontinuous shock transition of

Table 2 Black hole spin (a_k) , critical point location (r_c) , angular momentum (λ_c) , radial velocity (v_c) , and temperature (Θ_c) measured at r_c for shocked accretion solution presented in figure 3. Subscript 'c' identifies quantities measured either at inner ('in') or outer ('out') critical point. See text for more details.

$a_{\mathbf{k}}$	Critical	$r_{ m c}$	$\lambda_{ m c}$	$v_{\rm c}$	$\Theta_{\rm c}$	
	Point	(r_g)	$(r_g c)$	(c)	$(m_e c^2 K)$	
0	Inner	5.808	3.091	0.1794	27.4538	
	Outer	395.32	3.470	0.0313	0.7580	
0.99	Inner	1.492	2.058	0.2653	68.1666	
	Outer	405.46	2.445	0.0312	0.7510	

the flow variables in the subsonic branch as the Rankine-Hogoniot conditions (RHCs) (Landau and Lifshitz, 1959) for standing shock are satisfied at shock radius (r_s) . We determine the standing shock location $r_s = 42.07$ for a vertically integrated flow by employing shock conditions (RHCs) which are (a) continuity of energy flux: $[\mathcal{E}] = 0$, (b) continuity of mass flux: [M] = 0, and (c) continuity of momentum flux $[W + \Sigma v^2] = 0$ across shock. Here, we express the local energy of the flow as $\mathcal{E} = v^2/2 + \log h + \Phi_e^{\text{eff}}$, and the quantities within the bracket ([]) denote their differences across shock transition location. We show the shock transition using vertical arrow. Immediately after shock transition, the radial velocity of the matter decelerates, however, it progressively increases as the matter proceeds towards the horizon. Eventually, matter crosses the BH horizon at supersonic speed after passing through the inner critical point at $r_{\rm in} = 5.808$. In the figure, arrows show how the matter moves towards BH. Note that the post-shock branch of shocked solution is similar in nature to the solution for $\theta = 0.3$ in figure 2. Further, we calculate the shock-induced GTAS around a rotating BH of $a_{\rm k} = 0.99$ for flows injected with $\lambda_{\rm edge} = 3.68$, $v_{\rm edge} = 8.33 \times 10^{-3}$, $\Theta_{\rm edge} = 0.342$, $\dot{m} = 0.01$, $\alpha_0 = 0.01$, and $\theta = 0.1$ from $r_{\rm edge} = 1500$. The shock is formed at $r_s = 14.33$ in between $r_{\rm out} = 405.46$ and $r_{\rm in} = 1.492$. Here, we observe that for a chosen set of (α_0, θ) , shock exists around rapidly rotating BH when λ_{edge} assumes relatively smaller value and vice versa. Indeed, this is expected because accreting matter crosses the BH horizon with angular momentum lower than the marginally stable angular momentum $(\lambda_{\rm ms})$ and $\lambda_{\rm ms}$ evidently decreases with the increase of $a_{\rm k}$ (Das and Chakrabarti, 2008). In Table 2, we present the flow variables at the critical points for shock-induced GTAS presented in Figure 3.

In figure 4, we present the profile of the different flow variables for the shocked accretion solution presented in figure 3. We depict the variation of the radial velocity (v) in Figure 4(a), where discontinuous transition of v is observed at the shock radius (r_s) . We show the radial variation of mass density (ρ) of accreting matter in figure 4(b) and find that ρ increases monotonically with the decrease of r in the pre-shock branch although sudden jump of ρ is yielded across the shock front. Such a density jump at r_s is inevitable in order to maintain the conservation of mass flux (see equation 2). Because of this, PSC experiences density compression which is eventually quantified in terms of compression ratio defined as $R = \Sigma_+/\Sigma_-$, where $\Sigma (= 2\rho H)$ is vertically integrated mass density of accretion flow at a given radial coordinate. We obtain R = 2.31. In figure 4(c), the variation of temperature (T in Kelvin) with r is shown.



Fig. 4 Plot of (a) radial flow velocity v, (b) density ρ , (c) Temperature T, (d) entropy accretion rate $\dot{\mathcal{M}}$, (e) angular momentum λ , (f) disk aspect ratio H/r, (g) optical depth τ , and (h) synchrotron emissivity Q^{syn} for shocked accretion solution with r. Here, the vertical arrows indicate the shock transition location. Dotted curve refers shock free solution. See the text for details.

Indeed, the temperature of PSC shoots up as the kinetic energy of the upstream (preshock) flow is transformed into thermal energy in the downstream (post-shock) flow, which eventually resulted the increase of PSC temperature. Usually, the temperature jump at r_s is determined by means of the shock strength (S), and it is defined as $S = M_-/M_+$, where M_- (M_+) being the pre-shock (post-shock) Mach number. We obtain S = 2.92. We present the entropy accretion rate ($\dot{\mathcal{M}}$) in figure 4(d) and show that $\dot{\mathcal{M}}$ at PSC is larger compared to pre-shock region. This discernibly indicates that the shock-induced GTAS are favourable over the shoch-free GTAS according to the second law of thermodynamics (Becker and Kazanas, 2001). We demonstrate angular

Table 3 Black hole spin (a_k) , power law exponent (θ) , inner critical point location (r_{in}) , inner critical point angular momentum (λ_{in}) , outer critical point location (r_{out}) , outer critical point angular momentum (λ_{out}) , shock radius (r_s) , compression ratio (R), and shock strength (S) for global transonic solutions presented in figure 6. See text for more details.

$a_{\mathbf{k}}$	θ	$r_{ m in}$	$\lambda_{ m in}$	$r_{ m out}$	$\lambda_{ m out}$	r_s	R	S
		(r_g)	$(r_g c)$	(r_g)	$(r_g c)$	(r_g)		
0	0	5.315	3.222	386.573	3.419	129.30	1.65	1.88
	0.01	5.520	3.162	387.529	3.373	69.57	2.07	2.51
	0.02	5.787	3.098	388.552	3.322	40.71	2.35	2.99
	0.033	6.295	3.007	389.986	3.252	17.58	2.54	3.35
0.99	0	1.529	2.122	601.228	2.368	35.78	2.90	4.10
	0.01	1.612	2.076	601.479	2.342	18.15	3.17	4.78
	0.015	1.668	2.052	601.615	2.329	13.13	3.24	4.99
	0.021	1.828	2.001	601.907	2.300	6.67	3.27	5.11

momentum (λ) variation in figure 4(e) and find that the transport of λ remains feeble within several hundreds of gravitational radius, although it increases rapidly towards the outer edge of the disk (r_{edge}) . This possibly happens as viscous time-scale becomes larger than infall time-scale of accretion flow around BH. We show that disc thickness is scaled with radial coordinate in figure 4(f) and find that H/r < 1 is maintained all throughout $(r_h \leq r \leq r_{edge})$ in presence of shock. Furthermore, we display the variation of scattering optical depth τ in figure 4g. In this work, τ is given by $\tau = \kappa \rho H$, where $\kappa = 0.38 \text{ cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$. Since $\tau < 1$ particularly at $r < r_s$, the disc continues to remain as optically thin there. Hence, the hard X-ray radiations originated from PSC would escape significantly with ease. In Fig. 4h, we present the synchrotron emissivity (in erg cm⁻³ s⁻¹) with r. From the figure, it is evident that the net energy loss from PSC is highly profound in comparison with pre-shock flow.

In a similar way, we depict the different flow variables, such as $v, \rho, T, \dot{\mathcal{M}}, \lambda, H/r, \tau$ and Q^{syn} in figure 5 corresponding to the shocked accretion flow around a spinning BH of spin $a_{\rm k} = 0.99$ presented in figure 3b. Figure evidently indicates that the overall radial variations of these quantities are qualitatively similar with the results of $a_{\rm k} = 0.0$, except the region at the vicinity of the BH horizon (r_h) . In particular, we find that τ continues to increase as the flow approaches to the horizon $(r \to r_h)$, although it is seen to decrease for weakly rotating BH (see Fig. 4). This happens because τ is broadly regulated by the density (ρ) and ρ is increased significantly at the vicinity of BH having $a_{\rm k} = 0.99$. We skip the detail descriptions of other quantities to avoid repetitions.

In figure 6, we display how shock radius changes with θ values for flows injected with fixed outer boundary values at r_{edge} . Here, we choose $\dot{m} = 0.01$, and $\alpha_0 = 0.01$. In the top panel, we set the energy $\mathcal{E}_{\text{edge}} = 1.0004$ and angular momentum $\lambda_{\text{edge}} = 4.01$ at $r_{\text{edge}} = 1500$ and allow the flow to accrete onto a non-rotating BH of $a_k = 0.0$. We note that for $\theta = 0.0$, the subsonic flow becomes supersonic at $r_{\text{out}} = 386.573$ and shock is formed at $r_s = 129.30$ as the RH conditions are satisfied there. We also calculate compression ratio as well as shock strength for this solution and obtain as R = 1.65 and S = 1.88. This solution is shown with solid curve, whereas solid vertical arrow denotes



Fig. 5 Same as Fig. 4, but for flow accreting onto a rotating BH of $a_k = 0.99$.

shock radius. As θ is increased to $\theta = 0.01$, shock front moves inwards at $r_s = 69.57$. This happens due to the fact that the increase of θ enhances the viscous effect in the accretion flow, and hence, the transport of λ in the outward direction becomes more intense. This effectively weakens the centrifugal repulsion resulting the shock to move closer to the BH horizon. Evidently, this finding suggests that shock formation in accretion flow is centrifugally driven. Here, we obtain R = 2.07 and S = 2.51. We plot this solution using dotted curve. For the purpose of representation, we plot another solution for $\theta = 0.02$ using dashed curve. Indeed, the value of θ can not be increased indefinitely, and we find that beyond a limiting value of θ , which is $\theta_c = 0.033$, RHC for shock are not favourable and hence, shock does not form. Interestingly, time-varying shock may still be possible, however, investigation of this is beyond the scope



Fig. 6 Plot of M with r for accretion solutions that contain shock waves. Here, global parameters are chosen as $\dot{m} = 0.01$, and $\alpha_0 = 0.01$. Top panel: Flows with $\mathcal{E}_{edge} = 1.0004$ and $\lambda_{edge} = 4.01$ are injected from $r_{edge} = 1500$ with different θ values onto a stationary BH of $a_k = 0.0$. Bottom panel: Flows with $\mathcal{E}_{edge} = 1.00023$ and $\lambda_{edge} = 2.67$ at $r_{edge} = 1500$ are injected with different θ values onto a stationary BH of $a_k = 0.9$. Critical points are shown using filled circles and vertical down-arrows represent shock transition radii. See the text for details.

of this paper. Note that θ_c does not owns a universal value as it is dependent on other flow variables. Accretion solution for $\theta_c = 0.033$ are depicted using dot-dashed curve. In the bottom panel, we present the shocked accretion solutions for flows accreting onto rotating BH of $a_k = 0.99$. Here, we choose energy $\mathcal{E}_{edge} = 1.00023$ and angular momentum $\lambda_{edge} = 2.67$ at $r_{edge} = 1500$. The solutions depicted with solid, dotted, dashed and dot-dashed correspond to $\theta = 0, 0.01, 0.015$, and $\theta_c = 0.021$, respectively. In Table 3, we tabulate the flow quantities corresponding to these accretion solutions harbouring shock waves.



Fig. 7 Comparison of shock location r_s (upper panel), compression ratio R (middle panel), and shock strength S (lower panel) when varied with θ . Here, flows with same energy and angular momentum are injected from the fixed outer edge (r_{edge}). Left panels: Results are obtained for $a_k = 0$, and solid, dashed, and dotted curves are drawn for $\alpha_0 = 0.01$, 0.011 and 0.012, respectively. Right panels: Results are for $a_k = 0.99$, where solid, dashed, and dotted curves correspond to $\alpha_0 = 0.005$, 0.0055 and 0.006, respectively. See the text for details.

In figure 7, variation of shock properties, namely shock radius r_s (upper panel), compression ratio R (middle panel), and shock strength S (lower) are depicted with θ . In the left panels, we display the results for the stationary BH of $a_k = 0.0$, where flows are injected from $r_{edge} = 1500$ with identical energy ($\mathcal{E}_{edge} = 1.0004$) and angular momentum ($\lambda_{edge} = 3.98$). Here, we set $\dot{m} = 0.01$ and obtain the results for $\alpha_0 = 0.01$ (solid), 0.011 (dashed) and 0.012 (dotted), respectively. Figure 7a clearly shows that stable shocks exist for an ample range of θ values. As already anticipated, for a fixed α_0, r_s decreases with θ as it weakens the centrifugal repulsion against the gravitational attraction. Moreover, for a given θ , when α_0 is higher, the angular momentum transport becomes more efficient weakening the centrifugal barrier. Because of this, shock

front proceeds inwards. Notice that for a fixed α_0 , when $\theta > \theta_c$, shock disappears as RH conditions are not satisfied. As indicated earlier that θ_c strictly depends on the other flow variables (see §4). Indeed, the radiative cooling processes that primarily determines the flux of the high energy radiations from the disk are strongly dependent on both ρ and T distributions across shock front (Chakrabarti and Titarchuk, 1995; Mandal and Chakrabarti, 2005). Keeping this in mind, in figure 7b, we depict the variation of the compression ratio (R, measure of density compression across shock) as function of θ corresponding to shock-induced GTAS presented in figure 7a. We observe that when θ is increased, shock is generally pushed towards the BH. Due to this, PSC becomes further compressed causing the overall increase of R. Similar trend is generally observed in the variation of R irrespective of the α_0 values provided shock exists. Similarly, in figure 7c, we display how shock strength (S, measure of temperature)jump across the shock front) varies with θ for the solutions presented in figure 7a. It is clear that for a fixed α_0 , shock strength S monotonically increases as θ is increased and ultimately shifted from weaker to stronger regime. We continue the analyses and present the outcome for $a_k = 0.99$ in the right side panels of figure 7, where flows are injected from $r_{\text{edge}} = 1500$ with identical $\mathcal{E}_{\text{edge}} = 1.0004$, $\lambda_{\text{edge}} = 2.44$, and $\dot{m} = 0.001$. In order to preserve θ range intact, here we choose relatively smaller \dot{m} compared to the same used for flows around weakly spinning BH. In figures 7d-f, results are are obtained for $\alpha_0 = 0.005$ (solid), 0.0055 (dashed) and 0.006 (dotted), respectively. Note that the overall variations of r_s , R, and S with θ for $a_k = 0.99$ appear qualitatively similar as delineated in the left panels for $a_{\rm k} = 0.0$.

In figure 8, we investigate the effect of accretion rate (\dot{m}) for shock triggering in a convergent accretion flow. Such a portentous effort is very much useful as the radiative cooling processes are regulated by \dot{m} . While doing so, we inject matter onto a non-rotating BH ($a_{\rm k} = 0.0$) from $r_{\rm edge} = 1500$ with $\mathcal{E}_{\rm edge} = 1.0004$, $\lambda_{\rm edge} = 3.98$ and $\alpha_0 = 0.01$. We display the obtained results in left panels, where solid, dashed, and dotted curves correspond to $\dot{m} = 0.01, 0.1$ and 0.2, respectively. Similarly, for $a_{\rm k} = 0.99$, we choose $r_{\rm edge} = 1500$, $\mathcal{E}_{\rm edge} = 1.0004$, $\lambda_{\rm edge} = 2.44$, $\alpha_0 = 0.005$, and results are drawn in the right panels. The spin values are marked at the top of the figure. In panels (a) and (d), we present the variation of r_s with θ , where shocks are seen to proceed further inward close to BH horizon as θ increases. This feature is commonly observed irrespective to \dot{m} values provided shock is formed. What is more is that for a given θ , when \dot{m} is increased, shock front moves inward. This is not surprising because higher \dot{m} eventually increases the effect of cooling in PSC, and accordingly, thermal pressure decreases. Hence, shock settles down at the location closer to the horizon to maintain pressure balance on both sides of the discontinuity. In panels (b) and (e), we compare the compression ratio (R) and notice that R increases with θ . This evidently indicates that for a convergent flow, accretion shock becomes stronger as r_s decreases. We further find that shock strength S increases monotonically with θ , and for a given θ , when shocks form closer to BH, S is enhanced and vice versa (see panels (c) and (f)).

Next, we investigate the effect of BH rotation (a_k) on r_s and present the obtained results in figure 9, where the variation of r_s with a_k for different θ is depicted. For this analysis, we inject matter from $r_{edge} = 1500$ with $\lambda_{edge} = 3.78$, $\mathcal{E}_{edge} = 1.0004$,



Fig. 8 Plot of r_s (upper panel), R (middle panel), and S (lower panel) with θ for flows with different \dot{m} . Left panels: Here, we choose $r_{\rm edge} = 1500$, $\mathcal{E}_{\rm edge} = 1.0004$, $\lambda_{\rm edge} = 3.98$, and $a_{\rm k} = 0.0$. Solid, dashed, and dotted curves are for $\dot{m} = 0.01$, 0.1 and 0.2. Right panels: We set $r_{\rm edge} = 1500$, $\mathcal{E}_{\rm edge} = 1.0004$, $\lambda_{\rm edge} = 2.44$, and $a_{\rm k} = 0.99$. Solid, dashed, and dotted curves are for $\dot{m} = 0.001$, 0.004 and 0.01. See the text for details.

 $\dot{m} = 0.01$ and $\alpha_0 = 0.01$. In the figure, solid, dashed, dotted, dot-dashed and bigdashed curves are used to indicate results correspond to $\theta = 0.0, 0.03, 0.05, 0.07$, and 0.095, respectively. It is clear from the figure that for a fixed θ , r_s moves outwards from BH horizon as θ increases for flows with fixed outer boundary conditions. Accordingly, the effective size of the PSC is increased, and hence, the possibility of up-scattering the soft-photons from pre-shock disk at PSC is increased in producing the high energy radiations. We further notice that for a given θ , shocks form for a particular range of a_k , and as θ is increased, the range of a_k is shifted to the higher side. This is not surprising because of the fact that for a fixed λ_{edge} , higher θ increases angular momentum transport causing the overall reduction of $\lambda(r)$ close to BH. Indeed, it is evident that for higher a_k , shock exists when λ is relatively low (Das and Chakrabarti,



Fig. 9 Variation of $r_{\rm s}$ with $a_{\rm k}$ for different θ values. Results plotted using solid, dashed, dotted, dot-dashed and big-dashed curves are for $\theta = 0.0, 0.03, 0.05, 0.07$, and 0.095. See the text for details.

2008), and this happens because of spin-orbit coupling present in the effective potential (see equation (1a)) describing spacetime geometry around BH. These findings are consistent with the results of Sen et al (2022). In contrary, we observe that r_s decreases due to the increase of θ for flows accreting onto a BH having a fixed spin (a_k) value. Moreover, we observe that the lower limit of r_s is gradually reduced when the flow with fixed outer boundary accretes onto the BHs of increasing spin (a_k) values.

4 Shock parameter space

In this section, we proceed further to identify the region of parameter space that admits stationary shock solutions for viscous advective accretion flow around BHs. It is evident from figures 6-9 that shocked-induced GTAS are obtained for a range of angular momentum and θ values. Hence, we examine how the shock properties alters with θ in a viscous flow, and classify the effective domain of parameter space in terms of θ in the $\lambda_{in} - \mathcal{E}_{in}$ plane, where λ_{in} and \mathcal{E}_{in} refer to the angular momentum and energy of the flow at r_{in} (Aktar et al, 2017, 2019). We choose \mathcal{E}_{in} and λ_{in} in defining the shock parameter space as the flow is expected to advect into BH with energy and angular momentum resembling these values. The results are presented in figure 10, where left panel is for $a_k = 0.0$ and the effective region bounded with solid, dashed, dot-dashed, and dotted curves are for $\theta = 0.0, 0.1, 0.3, and 0.35$, respectively. Here, we set $\dot{m} = 0.01$. Similarly, in the bottom panel, we illustrate the results for $a_k = 0.99$, where solid, dashed, dot-dashed, and dotted curves are used to separate the region



Fig. 10 Effective domain of the shock parameter space as function of θ . Upper panel (a) is for the stationary BH of $a_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$, whereas bottom panel (b) illustrates results for the rapidly rotating BH of $a_{\mathbf{k}} = 0.99$. See the text for details.

for $\theta = 0.0, 0.3, 0.5$, and 0.7, respectively. Here, we choose $\dot{m} = 10^{-4}$. In each panel, $a_{\rm k}$ and θ values are marked. We observe that in both panels, the effective domain of $\lambda_{\rm in} - \mathcal{E}_{\rm in}$ space for standing shock is reduced as θ increases, and accordingly, the shock formation possibility is also diminished (Chakrabarti and Das, 2004; Das, 2007). Indeed, when θ exceeds its limiting value (*i.e.*, $\theta > \theta^{\max}$), the parameter space for standing shock disappears. Note that for $\theta > \theta^{\max}$, flow angular momentum at the vicinity of BH is reduced to such a limit that the centrifugal barrier becomes very weak and it could not trigger the shock transition. Hence, standing shock ceases to exist. Nevertheless, time-dependent shocked accretion solutions may exist for $\theta > \theta^{\max}$, which were examined by numerical simulation to study the oscillatory behaviour of shock solutions (Molteni et al, 1994; Das et al, 2014; Suková and Janiuk, 2015; Lee et al, 2016). Interestingly, the solutions of this kind satisfactorily account for the quasiperiodic oscillations (QPOs) phenomenon that are commonly observed in BH-XRBs (Nandi et al, 2012; Sreehari et al, 2020; Majumder et al, 2022). However, we indicate that the study of time-dependent shock solution is beyond the scope of this framework and we plan to consider it as future work.

We continue our study to examine the ranges of λ_{in} and θ in terms of α_0 that admit shocked-induced GTAS. In order to do that, we set $\dot{m} = 0.01$ and scan the range of θ for a given set of (λ_{in}, α_0) by freely varying r_{in} (equivalently \mathcal{E}_{in}). The obtained results for $a_k = 0.0$ and 0.99 are shown in figure 11. In panel (a), solid, dashed, and dotted are obtained for $\alpha_0 = 0.01$, 0.02, and 0.03, that separate the region for shocked accretion solutions from the shock-free solutions. Similarly, in panel (b), solid, dashed, and dotted are obtained for $\alpha_0 = 0.02$, 0.04, and 0.06. We observe that the permissible region for shock in $\lambda_{in} - \theta$ plane gradually diminishes with the increase of α_0 for both slowly and rapidly rotating BHs. In addition, we find that for a given α_0 , θ attains its maximum value, namely θ^{\max} , at a fixed λ_{in} . We further observe that as α_0 is increased, the value of θ^{\max} is decreased and it is obtained at smaller λ_{in} values.

In figure 12, we demonstrate how θ^{max} varies with α_0 . Open squares represent the results for $a_k = 0.0$, while open circles are for $a_k = 0.99$. These data points are further



Fig. 11 Variation of θ with angular momentum at the inner critical point (λ_{in}) that admits shock. In panel (a), $a_k = 0.0$ and solid, dashed and dotted curves denote results for $\alpha_0 = 0.01, 0.02$, and 0.03, respectively. Similarly, in panel (b), $a_k = 0.99$, and solid, dashed and dotted curves are for $\alpha_0 = 0.02, 0.04$, and 0.06. Here, we choose $\dot{m} = 0.01$. See the text for details.

Table 4 Values of the coefficients obtained from the best fit representation of $\theta^{\max} (= \delta \alpha_0^{-1/2} - \eta e^{-\xi \alpha_0})$ yielding shock-induced GTAS (see figure 12). See the text for details.

$a_{\mathbf{k}}$	δ	η	ξ
0	0.07	0.31	2.50
0.99	0.25	1.39	6.48

fitted empirically as $\theta^{\max} = \delta \alpha_0^{-1/2} - \eta e^{-\xi \alpha_0}$, where δ , η , and ξ are the constants, and their values strictly depends on a_k which are presented in Table 4. In the figure, solid curves denote the best-fit representations of the fitted function described above for $a_k = 0.0$ and 0.99, respectively. Figure clearly indicates that the accretion flows with relatively higher viscosity continue to harbour shock waves around the highly spinning BHs as compared to the weakly rotating BHs. This happens mostly because of the fact that the outer critical points turn into nodal type (Dihingia et al, 2019b) at higher viscosity for $a_k \to 0$, and hence, shock-induced GTAS ceases to exist.

5 Conclusions

In this study, we examine the structure of the viscous accretion flow that includes the more general viscosity than those usually discussed in the literature (Narayan and Yi, 1994; Chakrabarti, 1996; Chakrabarti and Das, 2004, and references therein). In particular, we consider the viscosity parameter to vary with the radial coordinate as $\alpha(r)$ and observe that GTAS continue to exist around rotating BH. Depending on input parameters, *i.e.*, viscosity, angular momentum, accretion rate, the accretion flow may harbour shock waves. Indeed, the shock-induced GTAS is promising in the sense that it has the potential to explain the spectro-temporal properties of BH sources



Fig. 12 Plot of θ^{max} as function of α_0 for shocked accretion solutions. Results depicted using open squares and open circles are for $a_k = 0.0$ and 0.99, respectively. Solid curves denote the fitted function as mentioned in the text. Here, we choose $\dot{m} = 0.01$. See the text for details.

(Chakrabarti and Manickam, 2000; Nandi et al, 2001; Smith et al, 2001, 2002; Nandi et al, 2012; Iyer et al, 2015; Das et al, 2021). We find important results that are presented below.

- There exists global transonic accretion solutions either pass through inner critical points (r_{in}, ADAF-type) or outer critical points (r_{out}, Bondi type) for low angular momentum flow. We find that when viscosity is appropriately chosen by tuning the viscosity exponent θ, keeping the other flow parameter fixed at the outer edge (r_{edge}), ADAF-type solutions change its character to become Bondi type (see figure 1). Further, when θ is decreased for an ADAF-type solution, global accretion solution eventually becomes closed as it could not extend upto the disk outer edge (see figure 2), although it can join with a Bondi-type solution via Rankine-Hugoniot shock transition (see figure 3). Note that these findings are seen in both weakly rotating (a_k → 0) and rapidly rotating (a_k → 1) BHs. Since it is generally perceived that BHs may accrete low angular momentum matter from its surroundings stars, shock seems to be an indispensable component in the accretion flow.
- 2. We observe that because of shock transition, convergent accretion flow is compressed yielding hot and dense PSC (see figure 4-5). Thus, PSC contains swarm of hot electrons, which are likely to reprocess the low energy photons from pre-shock flow via inverse-Comptonization and generate hard X-ray radiations (Chakrabarti and Titarchuk, 1995; Mandal and Chakrabarti, 2005). Such a signature of excess high energy radiations is often observed from galactic X-ray binaries harbouring BH sources (Sunyaev and Titarchuk, 1980; Iyer et al, 2015; Baby et al, 2020, and

references therein). With this, we infer that the shock radius (r_s) which coarsely measures the size of PSC seems to play viable role to emit hard X-ray radiations from accretion disc.

- 3. When viscosity is enhanced, the efficiency of the angular momentum transport increases that evidently weakens the centrifugal repulsion against gravity. Because of this, for higher θ , the size of PSC is reduced as the shock front moves inward to satisfy the pressure equilibrium on both sides of the discontinuity (see figure 6). Accordingly, by suitably changing θ , one can regulate the accreting dynamics including PSC while explaining the disk emission.
- 4. We determine the limiting range of flow parameters that admit shock transition in viscous accretion flow around both slowly and rapidly rotating BHs. We find that shock-induced GTAS are not discrete solutions. In fact, solutions of this kind are obtained for ample range of the flow parameters (see figure 10). However, the possibility of shock formation diminishes as we increase viscosity, and beyond a critical limit of $\theta > \theta^{\max}$, shock disappears. Indeed, θ^{\max} does not owns a universal value as it is dependent on other flow variables.
- 5. We quantify θ^{max} as function of α_0 for $a_k = 0$ and 0.99, and find that it sharply decreases at lower α_0 and ultimately settles down to its asymptotic limit (see figure 12).

It is noteworthy to refer that in the literature, there exists results of shock-induced transonic accretion flows obtained from simulation studies (Chakrabarti and Molteni, 1995; Lanzafame et al, 1998; Giri and Chakrabarti, 2012; Das et al, 2014; Okuda and Das, 2015; Lee et al, 2016). Indeed, in all these works, the viscosity parameter (α) was treated as global constant all throughout the disk. On the contrary, adopting the variable viscosity prescription, numerical simulation results of accretion flows around BHs are also reported. In particular, Hawley and Krolik (2001, 2002) examined the dynamical behaviour of the azimuthally and time averaged α that typically ranges between ~ 0.01 and ~ 0.1 throughout most of the disk. Penna et al (2013) reported feeble variation of α (~ 0.01 - 0.3) across the disk length scale with a peak around $2 - 3r_q$. In studying truncated accretion disk, Hogg and Reynolds (2018) obtained $\alpha \sim 0.07$ at $\sim 600 r_g$ in the quasi-steady state, although α settles down to ≈ 0.02 at the inner edge of the disk. Evidently, in these variable α studies, the formation of shock is not observed simply because these simulations were performed with Keplerian or quasi-Keplerian flows which are subsonic in nature and hence, are incapable of triggering shock transition (Das et al, 2001a). Accordingly, it remains infeasible to compare the results obtained from the present formalism with the existing simulations. Nevertheless, we infer that with the suitable choices of the input parameters, accretion flow having variable α would possibly be capable in possessing shock as corroborated in Giri and Chakrabarti (2013). We further indicate that based on the the above findings, the quantitative description of the viscosity profile adopted in the present formalism seems to be fairly consistent with the results of the simulation works.

We further mention that in an accreting system, PSC seems to play vital role in deciphering the observational signatures commonly observed in BH X-ray binary sources. As indicated earlier that PSC can reprocesses the soft photons via inverse Comptonization to produce hard X-ray radiations which eventually contributes in

generating the high energy tail of the energy spectrum (Chakrabarti and Titarchuk, 1995; Mandal and Chakrabarti, 2005). Occasionally, Galactic X-ray binaries do show spectral state transitions, which is possibly resulted when PSC geometry alters (Nandi et al, 2012; Iyer et al, 2015, and references therein). When PSC demonstrates time varying modulation, it resembles an astonishing phenomenon known as Quasi-periodic Oscillations (QPO) of hard X-ray radiations (Chakrabarti and Manickam, 2000; Nandi et al, 2001; Das et al, 2014). Moreover, it has been reported that PSC can deflect a part of the accreting matter in the form of jets/outflow (Das et al, 2001b, 2014; Aktar et al, 2017, 2018; Nandi et al, 2018). Considering all these, we argue that the present formalism in examining the PSC characteristics is fervently relevant in the astrophysical context.

Finally, we indicate the limitations of this formalism as it is developed considering several assumptions. We use effective potential to describe the space-time geometry around the rotating BH avoiding rigorous general relativistic approach. We neglect structured large scale magnetic fields and use stochastic magnetic field configuration. We also consider the flow to remain confined in single temperature domain although flow is expected to maintain two-temperature (for both ions and electrons) profiles. Implementation of all such issues is beyond the scope of this work and we intend to take up these relevant issues in future projects.

Data Availability

The data underlying this article will be available with reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB) of India through grant MTR/2020/000331.

References

- Abramowicz MA, Chakrabarti SK (1990) Standing Shocks in Adiabatic Black Hole Accretion of Rotating Matter. The Astrophysical Journal 350:281. https://doi.org/ 10.1086/168380
- Aktar R, Das S, Nandi A, et al (2017) Estimation of mass outflow rates from dissipative accretion disc around rotating black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.471(4):4806–4819. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1893, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1707.07511 [astro-ph.HE]
- Aktar R, Das S, Nandi A, et al (2018) Advective accretion flow properties around rotating black holes - application to GRO J1655-40. Journal of Astrophysics and Astronomy 39(1):17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12036-017-9507-0, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1801.04116 [astro-ph.HE]

- Aktar R, Nandi A, Das S (2019) Accretion-ejection in rotating black holes: a model for 'outliers' track of radio-X-ray correlation in X-ray binaries. Astrophys. Space Sci.364(2):22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10509-019-3509-0, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1901.10091 [astro-ph.HE]
- Baby BE, Agrawal VK, Ramadevi MC, et al (2020) AstroSat and MAXI view of the black hole binary 4U 1630-472 during 2016 and 2018 outbursts. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.497(1):1197–1211. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa1965, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2007.00928 [astro-ph.HE]
- Balbus SA, Hawley JF (1991) A Powerful Local Shear Instability in Weakly Magnetized Disks. I. Linear Analysis. Astrophys. J.376:214. https://doi.org/10.1086/ 170270
- Balbus SA, Hawley JF (1998) Instability, turbulence, and enhanced transport in accretion disks. Reviews of Modern Physics 70(1):1–53. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.1
- Becker PA, Kazanas D (2001) Exact Expressions for the Critical Mach Numbers in the Two-Fluid Model of Cosmic-Ray-modified Shocks. The Astrophysical Journal 546(1):429–446. https://doi.org/10.1086/318257, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0101020 [astro-ph]
- Bondi H (1952) On spherically symmetrical accretion. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.112:195. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/112.2.195
- Chakrabarti S, Titarchuk LG (1995) Spectral Properties of Accretion Disks around Galactic and Extragalactic Black Holes. Astrophys. J.455:623. https://doi.org/10. 1086/176610, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/9510005 [astro-ph]
- Chakrabarti SK (1989) Standing Rankine-Hugoniot Shocks in the Hybrid Model Flows of the Black Hole Accretion and Winds. Astrophys. J.347:365. https://doi.org/10. 1086/168125
- Chakrabarti SK (1996) Grand Unification of Solutions of Accretion and Winds around Black Holes and Neutron Stars. Astrophys. J.464:664. https://doi.org/10.1086/ 177354, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/9606145 [astro-ph]
- Chakrabarti SK, Das S (2004) Properties of accretion shock waves in viscous flows around black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.349(2):649–664. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07536.x, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0402561 [astro-ph]
- Chakrabarti SK, Manickam SG (2000) Correlation among Quasi-Periodic Oscillation Frequencies and Quiescent-State Duration in Black Hole Candidate GRS 1915+105. Astrophys. J. Lett.531(1):L41–L44. https://doi.org/10.1086/312512, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/9910012 [astro-ph]

- Chakrabarti SK, Molteni D (1995) Viscosity prescriptions in accretion discs with shock waves. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.272(1):80–88. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/272. 1.80
- Chattopadhyay I, Chakrabarti SK (2000) A Comparative Study of Bondi-Type and Radiative Outflows Around Compact Objects. International Journal of Modern Physics D 9(6):717–731. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271800000670
- Chattopadhyay I, Kumar R (2016) Estimation of mass outflow rates from viscous relativistic accretion discs around black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.459(4):3792–3811. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw876, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1605.00752 [astro-ph.HE]
- Chattopadhyay I, Ryu D (2009) Effects of Fluid Composition on Spherical Flows Around Black Holes. Astrophys. J.694(1):492–501. https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/694/1/492, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:0812.2607 [astro-ph]
- Das S (2007) Behaviour of dissipative accretion flows around black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.376(4):1659–1670. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11501. x, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0610651 [astro-ph]
- Das S, Chakrabarti SK (2008) Dissipative accretion flows around a rotating black hole. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 389(1):371–378. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13564.x, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:0806.1985 [astroph]
- Das S, Sarkar B (2018) Standing shocks in magnetized advection accretion flows onto a rotating black hole. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.480(3):3446–3456. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/mnras/sty2071, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1807.11417 [astro-ph.HE]
- Das S, Chattopadhyay I, Chakrabarti SK (2001a) Standing Shocks around Black Holes: An Analytical Study. Astrophys. J.557(2):983–989. https://doi.org/10.1086/ 321692, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0107046 [astro-ph]
- Das S, Chattopadhyay I, Nandi A, et al (2001b) Computation of outflow rates from accretion disks around black holes. Astron. Astrophys.379:683–689. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20011307, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0402555 [astro-ph]
- Das S, Becker PA, Le T (2009) Dynamical Structure of Viscous Accretion Disks with Shocks. Astrophys. J.702(1):649–659. https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/702/1/649, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:0907.0875 [astro-ph.HE]
- Das S, Chattopadhyay I, Nandi A, et al (2014) Periodic mass loss from viscous accretion flows around black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.442(1):251–258. https://doi. org/10.1093/mnras/stu864, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1405.4415 [astro-ph.HE]

- Das S, Nandi A, Agrawal VK, et al (2021) Relativistic viscous accretion flow model for ULX sources: a case study for IC 342 X-1. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.507(2):2777–2781. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2307, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2108.02973 [astro-ph.HE]
- Dihingia IK, Das S, Maity D, et al (2018) Limitations of the pseudo-newtonian approach in studying the accretion flow around a kerr black hole. Phys Rev D 98:083,004. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.083004, URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.083004
- Dihingia IK, Das S, Maity D, et al (2019a) Shocks in relativistic viscous accretion flows around Kerr black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.488(2):2412–2422. https://doi. org/10.1093/mnras/stz1933, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1903.02856 [astro-ph.HE]
- Dihingia IK, Das S, Nandi A (2019b) Low angular momentum relativistic hot accretion flow around Kerr black holes with variable adiabatic index. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.484(3):3209–3218. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz168, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1901.04293 [astro-ph.HE]
- Fragile PC, Blaes OM, Anninos P, et al (2007) Global General Relativistic Magnetohydrodynamic Simulation of a Tilted Black Hole Accretion Disk. Astrophys. J.668(1):417–429. https://doi.org/10.1086/521092, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:0706.4303 [astro-ph]
- Fukue J (1987) Transonic disk accretion revisited. Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn39(2):309-327
- Giri K, Chakrabarti SK (2012) Hydrodynamic simulations of viscous accretion flows around black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.421(1):666–678. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20343.x, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1112.1500 [astro-ph.HE]
- Giri K, Chakrabarti SK (2013) Hydrodynamic simulation of two-component advective flows around black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.430(4):2836–2843. https://doi. org/10.1093/mnras/stt087, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1212.6493 [astro-ph.HE]
- Hawley JF, Krolik JH (2001) Global MHD Simulation of the Inner Accretion Disk in a Pseudo-Newtonian Potential. Astrophys. J.548(1):348–367. https://doi.org/10. 1086/318678, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0006456 [astro-ph]
- Hawley JF, Krolik JH (2002) High-Resolution Simulations of the Plunging Region in a Pseudo-Newtonian Potential: Dependence on Numerical Resolution and Field Topology. Astrophys. J.566(1):164–180. https://doi.org/10.1086/338059, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0110118 [astro-ph]
- Hawley JF, Gammie CF, Balbus SA (1995) Local Three-dimensional Magnetohydrodynamic Simulations of Accretion Disks. Astrophys. J.440:742. https://doi.org/10. 1086/175311

- Hawley JF, Gammie CF, Balbus SA (1996) Local Three-dimensional Simulations of an Accretion Disk Hydromagnetic Dynamo. Astrophys. J.464:690. https://doi.org/ 10.1086/177356
- Hogg JD, Reynolds CS (2018) The Dynamics of Truncated Black Hole Accretion Disks. II. Magnetohydrodynamic Case. Astrophys. J.854(1):6. https://doi.org/10. 3847/1538-4357/aaa6c6, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1801.05836 [astro-ph.HE]
- Iyer N, Nandi A, Mandal S (2015) Determination of the Mass of IGR J17091-3624 from "Spectro-temporal" Variations during the Onset Phase of the 2011 Outburst. Astrophys. J.807(1):108. https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/807/1/108, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1505.02529 [astro-ph.HE]
- King AR, Pringle JE, Livio M (2007) Accretion disc viscosity: how big is alpha? Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.376(4):1740–1746. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966. 2007.11556.x, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0701803 [astro-ph]
- Kumar R, Chattopadhyay I (2014) Dissipative advective accretion disc solutions with variable adiabatic index around black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.443(4):3444–3462. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1389, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1407.2130 [astro-ph.HE]

Landau LD, Lifshitz EM (1959) Fluid mechanics. Pergamon Press, Oxford (1959)

- Lanzafame G, Molteni D, Chakrabarti SK (1998) Smoothed particle hydrodynamic simulations of viscous accretion discs around black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.299(3):799–804. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.1998.01816.x, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/9706248 [astro-ph]
- Lee SJ, Chattopadhyay I, Kumar R, et al (2016) Simulations of Viscous Accretion Flow around Black Holes in a Two-dimensional Cylindrical Geometry. Astrophys. J.831(1):33. https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/831/1/33, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1608.03997 [astro-ph.HE]
- Lu JF, Gu WM, Yuan F (1999) Global Dynamics of Advection-dominated Accretion Revisited. Astrophys. J.523(1):340–349. https://doi.org/10.1086/307725, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/9905099 [astro-ph]
- Lyubarskii YE (1997) Flicker noise in accretion discs. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.292(3):679–685. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/292.3.679
- Majumder S, Sreehari H, Aftab N, et al (2022) Wide-band view of high-frequency quasi-periodic oscillations of GRS 1915+105 in 'softer' variability classes observed with AstroSat. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.512(2):2508–2524. https://doi.org/10. 1093/mnras/stac615, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2203.02710 [astro-ph.HE]

- Mandal S, Chakrabarti SK (2005) Accretion shock signatures in the spectrum of twotemperature advective flows around black holes. Astron. Astrophys.434(3):839–848. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20041235
- Matsumoto R, Tajima T (1995) Magnetic Viscosity by Localized Shear Flow Instability in Magnetized Accretion Disks. Astrophys. J.445:767. https://doi.org/10.1086/ 175739
- Matsumoto R, Kato S, Fukue J, et al (1984) Viscous transonic flow around the inner edge of geometrically thin accretion disks. Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn36(1):71–85
- Mitra S, Maity D, Dihingia IK, et al (2022) Study of general relativistic magnetohydrodynamic accretion flow around black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.516(4):5092–5109. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac2431, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2204.01412 [astro-ph.HE]
- Molteni D, Lanzafame G, Chakrabarti SK (1994) Simulation of Thick Accretion Disks with Standing Shocks by Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics. Astrophys. J.425:161. https://doi.org/10.1086/173972, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/9310047 [astro-ph]
- Molteni D, Sponholz H, Chakrabarti SK (1996) Resonance Oscillation of Radiative Shock Waves in Accretion Disks around Compact Objects. Astrophys. J.457:805. https://doi.org/10.1086/176775, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/9508022 [astro-ph]
- Nagakura H, Yamada S (2009) The Standing Accretion Shock Instability in the Disk Around the Kerr Black Hole. Astrophys. J.696(2):2026–2035. https://doi.org/10. 1088/0004-637X/696/2/2026, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:0901.4053 [astro-ph.HE]
- Nandi A, Manickam SG, Rao AR, et al (2001) On the source of quasi-periodic oscillations of the black hole candidate GRS 1915+105: some new observations and their interpretation. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.324(1):267–272. https://doi.org/10.1046/ j.1365-8711.2001.04339.x, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0012527 [astro-ph]
- Nandi A, Debnath D, Mandal S, et al (2012) Accretion flow dynamics during the evolution of timing and spectral properties of GX 339-4 during its 2010-11 outburst. Astron. Astrophys.542:A56. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117844, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1204.5044 [astro-ph.HE]
- Nandi A, Mandal S, Sreehari H, et al (2018) Accretion flow dynamics during 1999 outburst of XTE J1859+226—modeling of broadband spectra and constraining the source mass. Astrophys. Space Sci.363(5):90. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10509-018-3314-1, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1803.08638 [astro-ph.HE]
- Narayan R, Yi I (1994) Advection-dominated Accretion: A Self-similar Solution. Astrophys. J. Lett.428:L13. https://doi.org/10.1086/187381,

https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/9403052 [astro-ph]

- Nayakshin S (1999) Corona Energy Budget in AGN and GBHC's. In: Ferland G, Baldwin J (eds) Quasars and Cosmology, p 43, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv. astro-ph/9812109, astro-ph/9812109
- Okuda T, Das S (2015) Unstable mass-outflows in geometrically thick accretion flows around black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.453(1):147–156. https://doi.org/10. 1093/mnras/stv1626, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1507.04326 [astro-ph.HE]
- Patra S, Majhi BR, Das S (2022) Properties of accretion flow in deformed Kerr spacetime. Physics of the Dark Universe 37:101120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2022. 101120, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2202.10863 [astro-ph.HE]
- Peitz J, Appl S (1997) Viscous accretion discs around rotating black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.286(3):681–695. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/286.3. 681, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/9612205 [astro-ph]
- Penna RF, McKinney JC, Narayan R, et al (2010) Simulations of magnetized discs around black holes: effects of black hole spin, disc thickness and magnetic field geometry. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.408(2):752–782. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-2966.2010.17170.x, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1003.0966 [astro-ph.HE]
- Penna RF, Sadowski A, McKinney JC (2012) Thin-disc theory with a nonzero-torque boundary condition and comparisons with simulations. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.420(1):684–698. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20084.x, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1110.6556 [astro-ph.HE]
- Penna RF, Sadowski A, Kulkarni AK, et al (2013) The Shakura-Sunyaev viscosity prescription with variable α (r). Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.428(3):2255–2274. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sts185, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1211.0526 [astro-ph.HE]
- Porth O, Chatterjee K, Narayan R, et al (2019) The Event Horizon General Relativistic Magnetohydrodynamic Code Comparison Project. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.243(2):26. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab29fd, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1904.04923 [astro-ph.HE]
- Riffert H, Herold H (1995) Relativistic Accretion Disk Structure Revisited. Astrophys. J.450:508. https://doi.org/10.1086/176161
- Sano T, Inutsuka S, Turner NJ, et al (2004) Angular Momentum Transport by Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence in Accretion Disks: Gas Pressure Dependence of the Saturation Level of the Magnetorotational Instability. Astrophys. J.605(1):321–339. https://doi.org/10.1086/382184, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0312480 [astro-ph]

- Sarkar B, Das S (2016) Dynamical structure of magnetized dissipative accretion flow around black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.461(1):190–201. https://doi.org/10. 1093/mnras/stw1327, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1606.00526 [astro-ph.HE]
- Sen G, Maity D, Das S (2022) Study of relativistic accretion flow around KTN black hole with shocks. J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.2022(8):048. https://doi.org/10.1088/ 1475-7516/2022/08/048, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2204.02110 [astro-ph.HE]
- Shakura NI, Sunyaev RA (1973) Reprint of 1973A&A....24..337S. Black holes in binary systems. Observational appearance. Astron. Astrophys.500:33–51
- Shapiro SL, Teukolsky SA (1983) Black holes, white dwarfs, and neutron stars : The Physics of Compact Objects. Wiley-Interscience, New York (1983)
- Smak J (1999) Dwarf Nova Outbursts. III. The Viscosity Parameter alpha. Acta Astron.49:391–401
- Smith DM, Heindl WA, Markwardt CB, et al (2001) A Transition to the Soft State in GRS 1758-258. Astrophys. J. Lett.554(1):L41–L44. https://doi.org/10.1086/320928, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0103381 [astro-ph]
- Smith DM, Heindl WA, Swank JH (2002) Two Different Long-Term Behaviors in Black Hole Candidates: Evidence for Two Accretion Flows? Astrophys. J.569(1):362–380. https://doi.org/10.1086/339167, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0103304 [astro-ph]
- Sorathia KA, Reynolds CS, Stone JM, et al (2012) Global Simulations of Accretion Disks. I. Convergence and Comparisons with Local Models. Astrophys. J.749(2):189. https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/749/2/189, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1106.4019 [astro-ph.HE]
- Sreehari H, Nandi A, Das S, et al (2020) AstroSat view of GRS 1915+105 during the soft state: detection of HFQPOs and estimation of mass and spin. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.499(4):5891–5901. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3135, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2010.03782 [astro-ph.HE]
- Steinacker Papaloizou JCB (2002)Three-dimensional Magnetohydro-Α. dynamic Simulations of anAccretion Disk with Star-Disk Boundary Layer. Astrophys. J.571(1):413-428.https://doi.org/10.1086/339892, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:astro-ph/0201479 [astro-ph]
- Suková P, Janiuk A (2015) Oscillating shocks in the low angular momentum flows as a source of variability of accreting black holes. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.447(2):1565–1579. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2544, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1411.7836 [astro-ph.HE]

- Sunyaev RA, Titarchuk LG (1980) Comptonization of X-Rays in Plasma Clouds -Typical Radiation Spectra. Astron. Astrophys.86:121
- Yang R, Kafatos M (1995) Shock study in fully relativistic isothermal flows. II. Astron. Astrophys.295:238–244
- Zhu Z, Stone JM (2018) Global Evolution of an Accretion Disk with a Net Vertical Field: Coronal Accretion, Flux Transport, and Disk Winds. Astrophys. J.857(1):34. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaafc9, https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1701.04627 [astro-ph.EP]

Appendix A Detail expression of the Wind equation

With some simple algebraic steps, the radial momentum equations, azimuthal momentum equations and entropy generation equations are reduced to the following form as,

$$R_0 + R_v \frac{dv}{dr} + R_\Theta \frac{d\Theta}{dr} + R_\lambda \frac{d\lambda}{dr} = 0,$$
(A1)

$$L_0 + L_\upsilon \frac{d\upsilon}{dr} + L_\Theta \frac{d\Theta}{dr} + L_\lambda \frac{d\lambda}{dr} = 0,$$
 (A2)

$$E_0 + E_v \frac{dv}{dr} + E_\Theta \frac{d\Theta}{dr} + E_\lambda \frac{d\lambda}{dr} = 0.$$
 (A3)

Using the equations (A1-A3), we obtain the wind equation, derivative of angular momentum and derivative of temperature which are given by,

$$\frac{dv}{dr} = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{D}},\tag{A4}$$

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dr} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \frac{d\upsilon}{dr},\tag{A5}$$

$$\frac{d\Theta}{dr} = \Theta_1 + \Theta_2 \frac{d\upsilon}{dr},\tag{A6}$$

where,

$$\mathcal{N} = E_{\lambda} \left(-R_{\Theta}L_{0} + R_{0}L_{\Theta} \right) + E_{\Theta} \left(R_{\lambda}L_{0} - R_{0}L_{\lambda} \right) + E_{0} \left(-R_{\lambda}L_{\Theta} + R_{\Theta}L_{\lambda} \right),$$
$$\mathcal{D} = E_{\lambda} \left(R_{\Theta}L_{\upsilon} + R_{\upsilon}L_{\Theta} \right) + E_{\Theta} \left(-R_{\lambda}L_{\upsilon} + R_{\upsilon}L_{\lambda} \right) + E_{\upsilon} \left(R_{\lambda}L_{\Theta} - R_{\Theta}L_{\lambda} \right),$$
$$\Theta_{1} = \frac{\Theta_{11}}{\Theta_{33}} \quad \Theta_{2} = \frac{\Theta_{22}}{\Theta_{33}}, \quad \lambda_{1} = \frac{\lambda_{11}}{\Theta_{33}}, \quad \lambda_{2} = \frac{\lambda_{22}}{\Theta_{33}},$$

$$\Theta_{11} = E_{\lambda}L_0 - E_0L_{\lambda}, \ \Theta_{22} = E_{\lambda}L_{\upsilon} - E_{\upsilon}L_{\lambda}, \ \Theta_{33} = -E_{\lambda}L_{\Theta} + E_{\Theta}L_{\lambda},$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{11} &= -E_{\Theta}L_{0} + E_{0}L_{\Theta}, \ \lambda_{22} = -E_{\Theta}L_{v} + E_{v}L_{\Theta}, \\ R_{0} &= \frac{d\Phi_{e}^{\text{eff}}}{dr} - \frac{3\Theta}{r\tau h} + \frac{F_{1}\Theta}{\tau \mathcal{F}h} - \frac{\Theta\Delta'}{\tau \Delta h}, \ R_{\Theta} = \frac{1}{\tau h}, \tau = 1 + \frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}, \\ R_{\lambda} &= \frac{F_{2}\Theta}{\tau \mathcal{F}h}, \ R_{v} = v - \frac{2\Theta}{\tau vh}, \ \Delta' = \frac{d\Delta}{dr}, \\ E_{0} &= -\frac{Q^{-}}{\rho} - r\alpha v^{2}\omega_{1} - \frac{2r\alpha\Theta\omega_{1}}{\tau} + \frac{v\Theta\left(-rF_{1}\Delta + \mathcal{F}(3\Delta + r\Delta')\right)}{r\tau \Delta}, \\ E_{\Theta} &= \frac{(1+2nv)}{\tau}, \ E_{\lambda} - \frac{\left(F_{2}v\Theta + r\alpha\mathcal{F}(\tau v^{2} + 2\Theta)\omega_{2}\right)}{\tau\mathcal{F}}, \ E_{v} = \frac{2\theta}{\tau}, \\ L_{0} &= -2\alpha v^{2} - \frac{4\alpha\Theta}{\tau} + \frac{r\alpha v^{2}\Delta'}{2\Delta} + \frac{r\alpha\Theta\Delta'}{\tau\Delta} - \frac{r}{\tau}\left(\tau v^{2} + 2\Theta\right)\frac{d\alpha}{dr}, \\ L_{\Theta} &= -\frac{2r\alpha}{\tau}, \ L_{\lambda} = v, \ L_{v} = -r\alpha v + \frac{2r\alpha\Theta}{\tau v}, \\ F_{1} &= \frac{F\lambda\omega_{1}}{(1-\lambda\Omega)^{2}} + \frac{1}{1-\lambda\Omega}\frac{dF}{dr}, \\ F_{2} &= \frac{F\Omega}{(1-\lambda\Omega)^{2}} + \frac{F\lambda\omega_{2}}{(1-\lambda\Omega)^{2}}, \ \mathcal{F} &= \frac{1}{(1-\lambda\Omega)}F, \\ F &= \frac{(r^{2} + a_{k}^{2})^{2} + 2\Delta a_{k}^{2}}{(r^{2} + a_{k}^{2})^{2} - 2\Delta a_{k}^{2}}, \ \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dr} &= F_{1} + F_{2}\frac{d\lambda}{dr}, \ \frac{d\Omega}{dr} = \omega_{1} + \omega_{2}\frac{d\lambda}{dr}, \\ \omega_{1} &= -\frac{2\left(a_{k}^{3} + 3a_{k}r^{2} + \lambda(a_{k}\lambda - 2a_{k}^{2} + r^{2}(r-3))\right)}{(r^{3} + a_{k}^{2}(r+2) - 2a_{k}\lambda)^{2}}. \end{split}$$